UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,291	07/26/2006	Ferdi Schuth	100716-62 KGB	7658
	7590 10/08/200 AUGHLIN & MARC		EXAM	IINER
875 THIRD AVE			BUI, DUNG H	
18TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	10/564,291	SCHUTH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DUNG BUI	1797				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	J. lely filed the mailing date of this co ○ (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<u> </u>	muianitus un dan 25 H.C.C. S. 440(a)	(d) on (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 0.5.C. § 119(a)	-(a) or (i).				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stane			
application from the International Bureau	•	a iii tiilo Hationai	Clago			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	,					
Attachment(s)		(DTO 140)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) [Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/564,291 Page 2

Art Unit: 1797

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

Applicants have not provided the missing copies as mentioned in Office Action date 03/09/09 and these documents have not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1, 4, 7, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heung (US 6432379) in view of Ovshinsky (US 6478844).

Regarding claim 1, Heung discloses the claimed invention for material comprising a hydrogen storage component (Heung - abstract). Heung does not disclose the hydrogen storage component selected from (a) alkali alanate, (b) a mixture of aluminum metal with alkali metal and/or alkali metal hydride, (c) magnesium hydride, and (d) mixtures of any of (a) – (c), wherein the hydrogen storage component is

encapsulated in a porous matrix. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have the hydrogen storage component selected from (a) alkali alanate, (b) a mixture of aluminum metal with alkali metal and/or alkali metal hydride, (c) magnesium hydride, and (d) mixtures of any of (a) – (c), wherein the hydrogen storage component is encapsulated in a porous matrix, since it is well known in the art as taught in US 6478844 (Ovshinsky – column 4, lines 25-43), US 6328821, and US 20030162059 that the substitution of one hydride to another for the same purpose.

Regarding claim 4, Heung as modified discloses all of limitation as set forth above. Heung as modified discloses the claimed invention for wherein the hydrogen storage component comprises a transition metal, transition metal compound, rare-earth metal and/or rare-earth metal compound (Heung - column 5, lines 33-48).

Regarding claim 7, Heung as modified discloses all of limitation as set forth above. Heung as modified discloses the claimed invention for a vehicle comprising a fuel cell system supplied with hydrogen from a material according to claim 1 (Heung - column 1, lines 22-25).

Regarding claim 9, Heung as modified discloses all of limitation as set forth above. Heung as modified discloses the claimed invention for a method of storing and releasing hydrogen, comprising: a) providing a material according to claim 1; and b) storing and releasing hydrogen from said material (Heung - abstract).

4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heung (US 6,432,379) in view of Ovshinsky (US 6478844) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Antonelli (US 7,078,130).

Regarding claim 2, Heung as modified discloses all of limitation as set forth above. Heung as modified discloses the claimed invention except for wherein said porous matrix is selected from solid inorganic materials. Antonelli teaches that it is known to have wherein said porous matrix is selected from solid inorganic materials (Antonelli – column 1, lines 33-41). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide wherein said porous matrix is selected from solid inorganic materials as taught by Antonelli in order to have low cost and thermal stability.

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heung (US 6,432,379) in view of Ovshinsky (US 6478844) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of MacGillivray (US 2009/0000474).

Regarding claim 3, Heung as modified discloses all of limitation as set forth above. Heung as modified discloses the claimed invention except for wherein said porous matrix comprises porous metal organic frameworks. Macgillivray teaches that it is known to have said porous matrix comprises porous metal organic frameworks (abstract). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide said porous matrix comprises porous metal organic frameworks as taught by MacGillivray in order to improve storage efficiency.

6. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heung (US 6,432,379) in view of Ovshinsky (US 6478844) and DeFilippi et al (US 5,503,738).

Regarding claim 5, Heung discloses the claimed invention for material comprising a hydrogen storage component (Heung - abstract). Heung does not disclose the hydrogen storage component selected from (a) alkali alanate, (b) a mixture of aluminum metal with alkali metal and/or alkali metal hydride, (c) magnesium hydride, and (d) mixtures of any of (a) – (c), wherein the hydrogen storage component is encapsulated in a porous matrix. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have the hydrogen storage component selected from (a) alkali alanate, (b) a mixture of aluminum metal with alkali metal and/or alkali metal hydride, (c) magnesium hydride, and (d) mixtures of any of (a) – (c), wherein the hydrogen storage component is encapsulated in a porous matrix, since it is well known in the art as taught in US 6478844 (Ovshinsky – column 4, lines 25-43), US 6328821, and US 20030162059 that the substitution of one hydride to another for the same purpose.

Also regarding claim 5, Heung as modified does not disclose the steps of impregnating a porous matrix material with a solution and/or suspension of said hydrogen storage components in an organic solvent and removing the organic solvent. DeFilippi et al teaches that it is known to have the steps of impregnating a porous matrix material with a solution and/or suspension of said hydrogen storage components in an organic solvent and removing the organic solvent (DeFilippi et al - column 7, lines 1-5). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

invention was made to inherent the steps of <u>impregnating</u> a porous matrix material with a solution and/or <u>suspension</u> of said hydrogen storage components in an organic solvent and removing the organic solvent as taught by DeFilippi et al in order to have a capacity of absorbing more than one pollutants.

7. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heung (US 6,432,379) in view of Ovshinsky (US 6478844) as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Golben (US 6,508,866).

Regarding claim 8, Heung as modified discloses all of limitation as set forth above. Heung as modified discloses the claimed invention except for wherein said solid inorganic materials are selected from the group consisting of porous carbon, mesostructured carbon, carbon xerogel, carbon aerogel, silica aerogel, silica xerogel, and zeolite. Golben teaches that it is known to have wherein said solid inorganic materials are selected from the group consisting of porous carbon, mesostructured carbon, carbon xerogel, carbon aerogel, silica aerogel, silica xerogel, and zeolite (column 1, lines 45-53). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have said solid inorganic materials are selected from the group consisting of porous carbon, mesostructured carbon, carbon xerogel, carbon aerogel, silica aerogel, silica xerogel, and zeolite as taught by Golben in order to increase composite material performance.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 7/9/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's remark that Heung does not teach the features of claim 1. In

Application/Control Number: 10/564,291 Page 7

Art Unit: 1797

fact, Heung discloses La-Ni-AlH and Lm-Ni-AlH. However, it is known magnesium hydride is well known in the art (US 6478844, US 6328821, and US 20030162059) that substitute one metal hydride with other for the same purpose.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DUNG BUI whose telephone number is (571)270-7077. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs., 7:30 a.m.-5 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Marcheschi can be reached on (571)272-1374. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jason M. Greene/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797 /D. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 1797